


A new online attack

I will respond to my new acquaintance politely.



Jessica Rose
Aug 16

726

86



There is the new hit-piece brought to my attention by a fellow galaxy watcher today. If you're interested, you can go to my [Substack article](#) on hit-pieces that explains how to recognize them so you can avoid reading them.

I have since removed the author's name¹, as per the suggestion of a subscriber, so as not to provide a platform for said author, and will use the pseudonym 'D' for this person. Pleasant enough, eh?

This piece is quite atrocious. The author makes a series of strange and false claims about me. This is par for the course for this person, so I am informed. But this article is about the personal and public attack aimed at me.

D has never met me, or spoken to me, and did not try to contact me before taking time out of precious life to write this piece, and to publish it. I imagine D at her beautiful cherry desk with her bookshelves lined with encyclopedia behind her, typing madly away at her laptop about this terrible girl named Jessica. What was your purpose here, D? If these COVID-19 shots are safe, then they are safe. Why do care about my position on this subject matter? It doesn't affect you. Does it bother you? Why does it bother you? If you personally believe that I am contributing to so-called 'vaccine hesitancy' by presenting data, and that this belief holds any water at all, then I suggest: the truth, transparency and informed consent. The truth, transparency and informed consent, in fact, would have prevented any kind of vaccine hesitancy, in my opinion.

People are rejecting the shots now because they are seeing loved ones being injured: not because of what I say. I am speaking on their stories reported to VAERS, not the other way around.

Let's start at the beginning with a screenshot.

Some of the new generation of anti-vaccine activists appear to have built their careers on misrepresentation of VAERS. One example is Dr. [Jessica Rose](#), who apparently started her career as a legitimate young scientist, but at some point became a devoted anti-vaccine activist. Dr. Rose's background is [described](#) by Orac thus:

Her background is more in the sort of computational biology that looks at protein structures and bioinformatics related to DNA sequences than it is to the sort of mathematical and statistical skill set necessary to delve into VAERS with any credibility. A perusal of her curriculum vitae, which is included on the profile, confirms my assessment, particularly her publication record, which includes a lot of molecular biology and virology, but nothing in the way of epidemiology.

Yes. I remember that. It was written a while back by someone whom, for some bizarre reason, goes by the pseudonym 'ORAC'. I wonder what that stands for? [Oxygen Radical Absorbance Capacity](#)? [Orgone accumulators](#)? I don't know. In any case, I was very creeped out when I first saw that write up on me because, well, I didn't know who this person was who was claiming, to the public, to know me so very well and furthermore, was, for all intents and purposes, defaming me. The 'article' was poorly-researched and revolved around my 'credentials' and had nothing to do with me, my work or science. It was literally just a badly-researched defamation hit piece. [Defamation is litigatable](#), by the way.

It's such a strange thing to me, these *ad hominem* attacks. Doesn't an attack on another's character require that one KNOW the character? How else can an attack on character be founded? One of the reasons I claim that the ORAC article was poorly-researched with regard to his claims pertaining to my epidemiological background, was that ORAC failed to mention that I have a degree in Applied Mathematics. I have written on this as well, and you can read that [here](#). Clearly, this person does not know me, my background or that my background thoroughly qualifies me to speak and/or write on epidemiological data.

On a personal note, since these people make it so, I think it's pathetic in general: this in-tandem 'taking each other down' and lack of 'building each other up'. How is it possible that there are so many emotionally-stunted immature 'adults' walking around in grown-up outfits posing as leaders and officials, pulling the pigtailed of others for no reason other than to try to not feel so bad about themselves? It's just bullying. In the schoolyard of life. Plain and simple.

Back to D's claims in this piece.

[Dr. Jessica Rose is part of a] new generation of anti-vaccine activists [who has] built [her career] on misrepresentation of VAERS.

Watch [this](#) D. I am actually quoted in this video as saying that I didn't know that VAERS was 2 years ago - before our stupendous leaders decided to ruin human society. I also am quoted in this video as saying that am exceedingly pro-vaccine and vaccinated, which I remain.


What I am against are UNSAFE PRODUCTS, and abuse of words like 'vaccine' to promote agendas and marketing schemes to sell products with no long-term safety data associated with them.

And unlike some, I do not lie.

D also states that my specialty is 'bad analyses of VAERS' and that I 'misrepresent' VAERS data. I plot the numbers from the downloadable data from the VAERS website (CDC/FDA/government data). I do not misrepresent this data. I simply plot it on charts so that people can easily understand that the numbers from past aren't like the numbers since the COVID-19 products were injected into billions of human beings. What do you guys think? Do you think that's my specialty? Do you think that my analyses are bad? Do you think I am 'misinterpreting' VAERS? You know me better than D does.

D claims I was a once a young and legitimate person. Sigh. You're right D, I am old now. As for legitimate, read this recently published peer-reviewed article entitled: "[The copper-linked Escherichia coli AZY operon: Structure, metal binding, and a possible physiological role in copper delivery](#)", published in January 2022, if you can. That name there 'Jessica Rose': that's my name. Last time I checked anyway. By the way, I don't ever go by a pseudonym: I want people to know who I am.

And there's this one too entitled "[Titratable transmembrane residues and a hydrophobic plug are essential for manganese import via the Bacillus anthracis ABC transporter MntBC-A](#)", from October 2021.



They're both pretty high level, so no worries if you have trouble reading them, D. D then quotes someone else's false statements about me - another person who has never spoken a word to my face. That's like stacking poop on poop, it'll collapse or dry up and turn white, eventually.

Dr. Rose's specialty appears to be doing [bad analyses](#) of VAERS and [claiming](#), based on them, that COVID-19 vaccines are dangerous.

On August 9, 2022, Dr. Rose published a "Question and Answer" "facts" about VAERS, titled "A question and answer document on the subject of VAERS as a pharmacovigilance tool". It is highly misleading. But it gave me an opportunity to provide information based on actual facts about VAERS.

Dorit Rubinstein Reiss

D also brings up my recently-posted [Substack article](#) (without posting a link - come on D - we're all friends here, aren't we?), where I wrote up a Q and A on VAERS facts prompted by a colleague, for the general public. Most of what I wrote are quotes from the VAERS website, and the rest is built on 2 years of data analysis of the system. And by the way, you don't have to agree with me when I do make assessments of the safety of these products from my analyses of the VAERS data, or any data. But to claim that my VAERS Q and A is 'misleading', is simply wrong.

To mislead one is to lead them in a direction that is not the direction of truth. *First of all*, I am not, and will never be, so arrogant as to think that I have that kind of power over others. People are intelligent enough, and indeed, have the right, to decide for themselves what is the truth, and what is best for them, D. And furthermore, to make an informed choice requires input from *many* sources. That's precisely why censorship is bad. D, I implore you to read [this](#) and [this](#) as well. *Second of all*, I only tell the truth, and nothing but the truth, so help me Mother Nature. Thus, I would never intentionally 'mislead' people even if I did that power, which I do not claim to, or believe, that I have. Occasionally, I might be unintentionally in error, but the fact that I am honest means that I fess up to these errors. I see the value in making mistakes and more importantly, **owning up to them when I make them**. I am not afraid to let people see the journey in the scientific process or in my writing, in fact, I think it is very valuable to do so and that it promotes trust. The people in charge of reducing 'vaccine hesitancy' should take a note here.

D, I propose a meet and greet via Zoom. You and me. We'll record it. Professor of Law to Medical Scientist → face-to-face. We can call it: The Common Ground. You can make my virtual acquaintance, and I, yours. I understand that meeting someone one-on-one, and getting to know a little about who they are, requires curiosity, integrity and honesty. I will wait with bated breath.

Sincerely, Dr. Jessica Rose

1 <https://www.ageofautism.com/2019/11/dorit-reiss-shows-immune-system-ignorance-while-promoting-vaccination.html>

Subscribe to Unacceptable Jessica

By Jessica Rose · Thousands of paid subscribers

Jessica's Substack Input

Type your email...

Subscribe

726 likes

726

86



86 Comments

- Write a comment...
- Margaret Anna Alice · Writes Margaret Anna Alice Through the... · Aug 16 · [Liked by Jessica Rose](#)

Congratulations on yet more evidence that you are a threat to the Establishment, Jessica, and thus merit such a pitiful and shoddy takedown attempt.

"Doesn't an attack on another's character require that one KNOW the character? How else can an attack on character be founded?"

Ah, but Jessica, name-calling and Kafkatrapping are Propaganda 101 strategies and are almost always baseless:

 - <https://propagandacritic.com/index.php/how-to-decode-propaganda/name-calling/>
 - <https://lifelessons.com/critical-thinking/kafkatrapping/>

Defamation *is* litigatable—I'd love to see that play out if you're up for the challenge :-)

Meanwhile, I'm eager for the Zoom debate 🍷


152ReplyCollapse
- Hubris · Aug 16 · [Liked by Jessica Rose](#)

But OF COURSE Jessica Rose is making a BAD analysis of VAERS, since her results are not the pre-approved ones (i.e. the scientific opinion-fact that the "vaccineseses" are "sugar, spice and everything nice").

84ReplyCollapse

84 more comments...


[Top](#) [New](#) [Community](#) [Q](#)



This is one of the emails I received the other day. I get hundreds daily, and I am hearing you all.

This particular note spoke loudly to me and this lovely person gave me permission to share her words.

JESSICA ROSE JUL 17 · 1,511 · 162 · [Share](#)



A Report on Myocarditis Adverse Events in the U.S. Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System (VAERS) in Association with COVID-19 Injectable...

Jessica Rose PhD, MSc, BSc and Peter A. McCullough MD, MPH

JESSICA ROSE NOV 2, 2021 · 1,223 · 144 · [Share](#)



Rewrite: Let's tag team this until everybody understands

The modified spike protein is dangerous and for very specific reasons.

JESSICA ROSE JUN 13 · 636 · 148 · [Share](#)

See all >

Ready for more?

Type your email...

Subscribe